

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/469,406	KESHAVARZI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donghee Kang	2811	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Donghee Kang. (3) Mr. Alan K. Aldous.
 (2) Mr. Tom Thomas. (4) Dr. Ali Keshavarzi.

Date of Interview: 07 September 2001 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1-28 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Admitted prior art by applicants Flg.1 .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the telephone interview, claims 1-28 are discussed, but no agreement was reached. However, upon filing an amendment, further consideration will be made. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required